PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference YCT-968 | | | FOR FURTHER ACTION | | See Form | See Form PCT/IPEA/416 | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|
| International application No. | | | | ng date (day/month/yea | r) Priority da | te (day/month/year) | |
| PCT/JP2004/013780 | | | 22.09.2 | 2004 | 26.0 | 9.2003 | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K31/343, A61P1/16, 31/04, 31/12, 35/00, C07D307/79 | | | | | | | |
| Applicant CHUGAI | SEIYAKU | KABUSH: | IKI KAI: | SHA | | | |
| | | | | on report, established being to Article 36. | y this International | Preliminary Examining Authority | |
| 2. This R | 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: | | | | | | | |
| а. 🗀 | sent to the d | applicant and to | the Internation | nal Bureau) a total of _ | | sheets, as follows: | |
| | sheets | - | | - | | are the basis for this report and/or Section 607 of the Administrative | |
| | | | | | | in an amendment that goes beyond if Box No. I and the Supplemental | |
| ьГ | 7 | International Ru | ureau oniv) a tot | tal of (indicate type and | number of electron | uic carrier(s)) | |
| о | (sett to the l | пистимоны ва | ireau omy) a tol | iai of (moleate type and | | | |
| , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). | | | | | | | |
| 4. This re | eport contains ind | ications relating | to the followin | ng items: | | | |
| \boxtimes | Box No. I | Basis of the re | eport | | | | |
| | Box No. II | Priority | • | | | | |
| Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and indus | | | industrial applicability | | | | |
| | Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention | | | | | | |
| Ξ | вох №о. V | District of the state of the st | | | | | |
| | Box No. VI | Certain docum | nents cited | | | | |
| | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application | | | | | |
| | Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application | | | | | | |
| Date of submiss | ion of the demand | d | | Date of completi | on of this report | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP | | | Authorized office | T | | | |
| Faccimile No. | | | | Telephone No | | | |

Section 1

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/013780

| Box | No. I | Basis of the report | |
|----------|-------------------|--|---|
| 1. | | d to the language, this report is based on the internation nder this item. | al application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise |
| | | h is the language of a translation furnished for the purpo | e into the following language ses of: |
| | H | international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) | |
| | 片 | publication of the international application (Rule 12.4) | |
| | Wish | international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o | eport is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the |
| 2. | receiving (| Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are : | referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to |
| | $\overline{}$ | nternational application as originally filed/furnished | |
| | the de | escription: | |
| | pages | | as originally filed/furnished |
| | pages | S* | received by this Authority on |
| | pages | s* | received by this Authority on |
| | the cl | laims: | |
| | nos. | | as originally filed/furnished |
| | nos.* | | as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 |
| 1 | nos.* | | |
| | nos.* | | |
| ÷ | | | |
| | the da | rawings: | |
| İ | sheet | | as originally filed/furnished |
| | sheet | | received by this Authority on |
| | sheet | s* | received by this Authority on |
| | a seq | uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplement | ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing. |
| 3. | The a | amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: | |
| Ì | | the description, pages | |
| | $\overline{\Box}$ | the claims, nos. | |
| | \Box | | |
| ļ | | | |
| | H | the sequence listing (specify): | |
| | | , | |
| 4. | they | have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil | ments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since ed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). |
| | 님 | the description, pages | |
| | 님 | the claims, nos. | |
| | 닏 | the drawings, sheets/figs | |
| | | the sequence listing (specify): | |
| | | any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): | |
| <u>+</u> | If item 4 ap | pplies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe | erseded." |

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/013780

| Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: | | | |
| the entire international application | | | |
| claims Nos. 17 | | | |
| because: | | | |
| the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 17 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): | | | |
| The invention set forth in claim 17 pertains to | | | |
| a method for the treatment of the human body by means | | | |
| of therapy. | | | |
| the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | | |
| the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported | | | |
| by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. | | | |
| no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 17 | | | |
| the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrativ Instructions in that: | | | |
| the written form has not been furnished | | | |
| does not comply with the standard | | | |
| the computer readable form has not been furnished does not comply with the standard | | | |
| the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. | | | |
| See Supplemental Box for further details. | | | |

International application No. PCT/JP2004/013780

| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|------|-----|
| 1. | Statement | , | | |
| | Novelty (N) | Claims | 1-16 | YES |
| | | Claims | | NO |
| | Inventive step (IS) | Claims | | YES |
| | | Claims | 1-16 | NO |
| | Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-16 | YES |
| | | Claims | | NO |
| | Citations and explanations (Rule | 70.7) | | |

- Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - Document 1: JP 6-206842 A (Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.), 26 July 1994
 - Document 2: JP 1-272578 A (Takeda Chemical Industries, Ltd.), 31 October 1989
 - Document 3: JP 3-7274 A (Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.), 14 January 1991
 - Document 4: JP 6-41123 A (Takeda Chemical Industries, Ltd.), 15 February 1994
 - Document 5: JP 9-241157 A (Alps Pharmaceutical Ind. Co., Ltd.), 16 September 1997

[1]

The inventions set forth in claims 1 to 16 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 5 cited in the international search report.

Document 1 indicates that the dihydrobenzofuran derivatives represented by formula (I) exhibit an antioxidant action.

On the other hand, the inventions that are set forth in the present application employ said compounds in order to treat hepatic diseases, and this feature is not disclosed in document 1.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/013780

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

However, documents 2 to 5 indicate that dihydrobenzofuran derivatives which exhibit an antioxidant action are useful in the treatment of hepatic diseases, and document 5 specifically describes the liver protecting action of the derivatives in question.

Such being the case, it would have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of using the dihydrobenzofuran derivatives that are disclosed in document 1 as agents for the treatment of hepatic diseases.

Meanwhile, the applicant asserts that the description of the present application presents comparative tests comparing the active component of the inventions set forth in the present invention with the active component of probucol, which is a well-known antioxidant, whereby the antioxidative substances such as probucol were found to have a different cell protection mechanism from the inventions set forth in the present application, and were also found to be insufficient for the treatment of hepatic diseases. Indeed, the test data set forth in the description of the present application does in fact demonstrate that probucol has a different cell protection mechanism and is insufficient for the treatment of hepatic diseases.

However, probucol cannot be said to be a compound with a chemical structure similar that of the active component in the inventions set forth in the present application, and dihydrobenzofuran derivatives which exhibit an antioxidant action similar to that of the active component in the inventions set forth in the present application are known to be useful in the treatment of hepatic diseases, as is indicated above.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/013780

| Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
|-----------|---|
| | Such being the case, the inventions that are set |
| forth | in the present application can hardly be considered |
| to inv | volve an inventive step based on only the |
| exper | imental data pertaining to probucol, which is merely |
| one of | f many known antioxidants. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| a. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |